ISSCC 2009 / SESSION 9 / DATA CONVERTER TECHNIQUES / 9.1

9.1 A 130mW 100MS/s Pipelined ADC with 69dB SNDR Enabled by Digital Harmonic Distortion Correction

Andrea Panigada, Ian Galton

University of California, San Diego, CA

A pipelined ADC is presented with 2 fully integrated digital background calibration techniques: harmonic distortion correction (HDC) to compensate for residue amplifier gain error and nonlinearity, and DAC noise cancellation (DNC) to compensate for DAC capacitor mismatches [1,2]. It is the first IC implementation of HDC, and the results demonstrate that HDC and DNC together enable reductions in power dissipation relative to comparable conventional state-of-the-art pipelined ADCs.

HDC is one of two recently proposed techniques that digitally measure and cancel ADC errors caused by residue-amplifier distortion to permit higher-distortion and, thus, lower-power residue amplifiers in pipelined ADCs [1,3]. The benefit of HDC relative to the technique of [3] is that it works for any pipelined ADC input signal [1]. It requires an increase in the resolution of the DAC in each pipeline stage to which it is applied, but as described below this is achieved without significantly increasing the area or power dissipation of the ADC.

The ADC consists of five 9-level stages with a nominal residue amplifier gain of 4, and a 17-level 6th stage (Fig. 9.1.1). HDC and DNC are applied to the first 3 stages because the performance of these stages most critically affects ADC performance. DNC is as described in [2], so only HDC is described below.

Each stage with HDC contains a 65-level DAC with a step-size of $\Delta/8$ where Δ is the step-size of the stage's flash ADC. A calibration sequence $c_k[n]=t_{1,k}[n]+t_{2,k}[n]+t_{3,k}[n]$, k=1,2, or 3, is added after the flash ADC of the k^{th} stage, where $t_{1,k}[n], t_{2,k}[n]$, and $t_{3,k}[n]$ are uncorrelated zero-mean pseudo-random sequences that each take on values of $\pm \Delta/16$ referred to the DAC's output. As shown in Fig. 9.1.1, $c_k[n]$ is converted to analog and passed through the residue amplifier along with the stage's residue using a portion of the overrange margin.

Ideally, $c_k[n]$ would be cancelled in the digital recombination logic along with error from the flash ADC. However, residue amplifier gain error and nonlinearity cause extra terms that are functions of both flash ADC error and $c_k[n]$ to appear in the digitized residue at the input to the stage's divide-by-4 block. For 3rd-order distortion causes a term proportional to example. $\alpha_{3,k}t_{1,k}[n]t_{2,k}[n]t_{3,k}[n]$ in the digitized residue, where $\alpha_{3,k}$ is the 3rd-order distortion coefficient of the kth residue amplifier. This term is uncorrelated with other terms in the digitized residue, so multiplying the digitized residue by $t_{1,k}[n]t_{2,k}[n]t_{3,k}[n]$ and averaging yields an estimate of $\alpha_{3,k}$ that can be used to digitally cancel all the error terms from the residue amplifier's 3rd-order distortion. The kth HDC block (Fig. 9.1.2) does this, and similarly estimates and compensates for the amplifier's gain error, α_{1k} [1]. It was found from circuit simulation during design that only gain error and 3rd-order distortion limit overall performance if left uncorrected, so other orders of residue amplifier distortion are not corrected.

The 65-level DAC is the most critical component for HDC. Any nonlinear distortion it introduces must be negligible compared to that from the residue amplifier so as not to degrade the HDC estimates of $\alpha_{1,k}$ and $\alpha_{3,k}$. Furthermore, its latency must be low so as not to significantly reduce the time available for the residue amplifier to settle. These objectives are achieved by combining the $c_k[n]$ adder with a dynamic-element-matching (DEM) encoder of the type presented in [4], and collapsing the critical paths of the digital logic into 2 layers of transmission gates. The DAC and $c_k[n]$ adder together consist of the modified DEM encoder followed by 14 1b DACs with weights of $\Delta/8$, $\Delta/4$, $\Delta/2$, and Δ (Fig. 9.1.3). The DEM encoder scrambles the usage pattern of the 1b DACs via 13 pseudo-random bit sequences to convert nonlinear distortion that would otherwise arise from capacitor mismatches, into white noise.

The idea behind the DEM encoder modification is to provide each $c_k[n]$ sample to the DEM encoder a clock period before the corresponding flash ADC output sample. The input bits to the 6 smallest 1b DACs are independent of the flash ADC output, so the DEM encoder has a full clock period to calculate them. Exactly p[n]+q[n] of the input bits to the largest eight 1b DACs must be set high, where p[n] is the sum of the 8 thermometer code bits from the flash ADC, and q[n] takes on values of 1, -1, and 0 depending on $c_k[n]$. The first layer of transmission gates in the DEM encoder (Fig. 9.1.3) adds p[n] and q[n], and the second layer scrambles the usage pattern of the largest eight 1b DACs. Hence, the latency associated with the $c_k[n]$ adder and DEM is 2 transmission gate delays.

HDC only compensates for residue amplifier error, so care is taken to prevent other non-idealities from limiting performance. Passive sampling is used to avoid using a sample-and-hold amplifier [2,5]. Bootstrapped switches as in [2] are used to reduce switch nonlinearity where necessary. Separate sampling and DAC capacitors are used to avoid signal-dependent loading of the on-chip reference voltage generators. If the capacitors were shared, kT/C noise would be reduced but lower-impedance reference generators with much higher power dissipation would be required.

Conventional switched-capacitor techniques are used with low-current 2stage opamps. To reduce design and layout time, successive stages are not aggressively scaled or individually optimized for minimum power dissipation. The 1st-stage residue amplifier's simulated loop gain is 23dB at DC with a unity gain frequency of 200MHz, and its bias current is 4.8mA. As expected, given the loop gain's low amplitude and bandwidth, the measured –1dBFS SNDR of the ADC prior to correction by HDC is 43dB. As indicated in Fig. 9.1.4, HDC and DNC increase this value by 26dB, of which DNC accounts for about 4dB.

HDC operates in the background during normal operation of the pipelined ADC, so it adapts to environmental changes without interrupting normal ADC operation. However, initial HDC convergence with a worst-case (i.e., full-scale) ADC input signal requires 130s in this design. Although not implemented, simulations indicate that an auto-calibration mode with no changes to HDC except for zeroing the input signal and using $4c_k[n]$ in place of $c_k[n]$ in each of the first 3 stages would reduce the initial convergence time to less than 1s.

Three test boards are populated and used to obtain the measured data in Figs. 9.1.4 and 9.1.5. Figure 9.1.4 shows worst-case results both with nominal and reduced supply voltages. A mistake found after tapeout prevents HDC convergence for small ADC input signals, but simulations confirm that the problem can be eliminated by a metal mask change in the digital circuitry. Normally, HDC continually updates its coefficients, but it can optionally freeze the coefficients after convergence. Measurements with frozen coefficients for numerous input signals, including small input signals, indicate that full performance is achieved in all cases. As shown in Fig. 9.1.6, the FOM values achieved by the ADC are better than those of previously published ADCs with comparable bandwidth and SNDR performance. A die micrograph is shown in Fig. 9.1.7.

References.

[1] A. Panigada and I. Galton, "Digital Background Correction of Harmonic Distortion in Pipelined ADCs," *IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems-I*, vol. 53, no. 9, pp. 1885-1895, Sept. 2006.

[2] E. Siragusa and I. Galton, "A Digitally Enhanced 1.8V 15b 40MS/s CMOS Pipelined ADC," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 39, no. 12, pp. 2126-2138, Dec. 2004.

[3] B. Murmann and B. Boser, "A 12b 75MS/s Pipelined ADC Using Open-Loop Residue Amplification," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 38, no. 12, pp. 2040-2050, Dec. 2003.

[4] K. L. Chan, J. Zhu, and I. Galton, "Dynamic Element Matching to Prevent Non-Linear Distortion From Pulse-Shape Mismatches in High-Resolution DACs," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 43, no. 9, pp. 2067-2078, Sept. 2008.

[5] I. Mehr and L. Singer, "A 55-mW, 10-bit, 40-Msample/s Nyquist-Rate CMOS ADC," IEEE J. Solid State Circuits, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 318-325, March 2000.

[6] M. Anthony et al., "A Process Scalable Low-Power Charge-Domain 13-bit Pipeline ADC," *IEEE Symp. VLSI Circuits*, pp. 222-223, June 2008.

162 • 2009 IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference

978-1-4244-3457-2/09/\$25.00 ©2009 IEEE

ISSCC 2009 / February 10, 2009 / 8:30 AM

9



DIGEST OF TECHNICAL PAPERS • 163

Please click on paper title to view Visual Supplement.

ISSCC 2009 PAPER CONTINUATIONS

Figure 9.1.7: Die micrograph.	

• 2009 IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference

978-1-4244-3457-2/09/\$25.00 ©2009 IEEE

Please click on paper title to view Visual Supplement.